

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,846 02/13/2002		02/13/2002	John N. Feder	D0079 NP	9057
23914	7590	07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN		IS SQUIBB COMPANY	ЛANG, DONG		
PATENT D		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
POBOX 40	000		1646		
PRINCETO	N, NJ 0	8543-4000	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/075,84	46	FEDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dong Jiai		1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUN	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evo n. a reply within the state eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	03 June 2004.						
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 20-27 and 30-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 30-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 22,24 and 26 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume ireau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/04</u> .) 3/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED OFFICE ACTION

Applicant's response and amendment filed on 03 June 2004 is acknowledged and entered. Following the amendment, claims 28, 29, and 37-40 are canceled, claims 20 and 30 are amended.

Currently, claims 20-27 and 30-36 are pending and under consideration.

The finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn in view of new grounds of rejection, which are set forth below.

Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections:

All objections and rejections of claims 28, 29, and 37-40 are moot as the applicant has canceled the claim.

The scope rejection of claims 20 and 30-36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, made in the last Office Action mailed on 17 May 2004 is withdrawn in view applicants amendment.

The rejection of claims 20-27 and 30-36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in view applicants amendment.

Objections and Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101 and §112:

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by a credible, substantial, specific, or well-established utility.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 25 and 27 encompass or are directed to an isolated nucleic acid encoding a human polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:4, or a specific portion of SEQ ID NO:4. Said polypeptide is a putative glycine receptor $\alpha 4$ subunit splice variant, and designated HGRA4sv.

As addressed in the Office Action mailed on 17 May 2004, the utility of the presently claimed nucleic acid is established based on the disclosure of the prior art reference by Rappold-

Art Unit: 1646

Hoerbrand (WO 00/58461), wherein a gene positively associated with ataxia is disclosed, and the Rappold-Hoerbrand's ataxia protein (SEQ ID NO:2) encoded by said gene is 100% identical to applicants HGRA4, and represents a splice variant of the HGRA4sv of the present invention.

The specific and substantial utility established by the Rappold-Hoerbrand reference is a diagnosis use based on that the gene is responsible for disorders relating to ataxia as the chromosomal breakpoint of the patient having ataxia is found to reside within the genomic locus of said gene, which is demonstrated by restriction enzyme analysis of the ataxia cDNA, wherein a band shift was observed in the patient, but not in healthy controls (page 5, the third and fourth paragraphs). Therefore, the diagnosis use of the gene depends upon the specific pattern generated by restriction enzyme analysis. Such a specific pattern of a nucleic acid is determined by the unique sequence of the nucleic acid. A limitation of "an isolated polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide" in the present claims (parts (a)-(c) of claim 20, for example) reads on the disclosed specific polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:3, which encodes the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:4, as well as polynucleotides with all possible degeneracy and encoding SEQ ID NO:4. The later would be highly likely to generate different patterns from that of the prior art when subjected to the restriction enzyme digestion, which would not reflect the association to said disorder. As such, those polynucleotides with the sequence degeneracy would not be suitable for the diagnosis use established by the prior art. Further, neither the prior art nor the present specification teaches specifically the use of those polynucleotides for the purpose of diagnosis or any other purpose. Thus, there was no immediately apparent or "real world" utility for those polynucleotides, and the claimed invention is incomplete as of the filing date. One may argue that the polynucleotides with the degenerate sequences can be used for the production of the polypeptide. However, as of the filing date of the present application, no specific and substantial utility for the polypeptide was established or disclosed by the instant specification. Until a specific and substantial utility can be attributed to the HGRA4sv polypeptide, use of a nucleic acid for the production of the protein is not considered by the Patent Office to be a specific or substantial utility, as such use could be asserted for any cDNA.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/075,846 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 30-36 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific, substantial or credible utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Conclusion:

Claims 22, 24 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information:

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dong Jiang whose telephone number is 571-272-0872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

LORRAINE SPECTOR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dong Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner AU1646 6/29/04